WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Y	ordy Ynder Urquidy Lopez	_ Case Number:	15-9200MJ	
was prese	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § nt and represented by counsel. I conclud der the detention of the defendant pendin	e by a preponderance of the evidence		
I find by a	preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
×	The defendant, at the time of the ch	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
×	If released herein, the defendant fac Enforcement, placing him/her beyor deported or otherwise removed.	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant co	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum	n of years impr	isonment.	
Th Court at th	ne Court incorporates by reference the ma e time of the hearing in this matter, excep	nterial findings of the Pretrial Services A	Agency which were reviewed by the	
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
	DIRECT	IONS REGARDING DETENTION		
in a correct pending apporter of a	tions facility separate, to the extent practions facility separate, to the extent practions facility separate, to the extent practic opeal. The defendant shall be afforded a court of the United States or on request of II deliver the defendant to the United States. APPEAL	cable, from persons awaiting or serving reasonable opportunity for private const an attorney for the Government, the p	g sentences or being held in custody sultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	
to deliver a District Co from the da objections	IS ORDERED that should an appeal of the acopy of the motion for review/reconsider urt. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM ate of service of a copy of this order or aft with the district court. Failure to timely file D.R.CRIM.P.	ation to Pretrial Services at least one of 1.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defer er the oral order is stated on the record	lay prior to the hearing set before the ndant shall have fourteen (14) days d within which to file specific written	
Pretrial Se	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release rvices sufficiently in advance of the hearing and investigate the potential third party custom the party custom investigate the potential third party custom investigate.	ng before the District Court to allow Prestodian.	etrial Services an opportunity to	
DATE:	June 23, 2015	2	Swillest	

Eileen S. Willett